

The Conservative Index

Our first look at the 108th Congress shows how every member of the House and Senate voted on key issues, including abortion, the debt limit increase, and tax reductions.

House Vote Descriptions

1 Fiscal 2003 Omnibus Appropriations. The final version (conference report) of House Joint Resolution 2 would provide \$397 billion in fiscal 2003 for all Cabinet departments and government agencies covered in 11 unfinished spending bills from the 107th Congress. The bills included are: Agriculture, Commerce-Justice-State, District of Columbia, Energy and Water Development, Foreign Operations, Interior, Labor-HHS-Education, Legislative Branch, Transportation, Treasury-Postal Service, and VA-HUD. The problem with the omnibus approach is that thousands of unconstitutional activities are lumped together with legitimate legislation in one massive bill. Thus, big government is perpetuated with a minimum of accountability.

The House adopted the conference report on H. J. Res. 2 on February 13, 2003 by a vote of 338 to 83 (Roll Call 32). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because this bill perpetuates huge amounts of unconstitutional federal spending.

2 Budget Resolution — Democrat Substitute. The Democrat substitute amendment for the budget resolution (House Concurrent Resolution 95) would authorize federal spending for fiscal 2004 of \$1,868 billion dollars with a deficit of \$376 billion and an increase in the public debt ceiling of \$839 billion. Although the proposed deficit of \$376 billion would be smaller than the \$558 billion deficit finally authorized in the conference report (see House Vote #4), it would still be much larger than the previous record deficit of \$290 billion in 1992. Similarly, although the proposed \$839 billion increase in the public debt ceiling would be smaller than the \$984 billion increase finally authorized in the conference report, it would still be a



Digital Stock

record-breaking debt limit increase approaching \$1 trillion in size. This amendment would also include a \$528 billion prescription drug benefit for 2004-2013.

The House rejected the Democrat substitute amendment on March 20, 2003 by a vote of 192 to 236 (Roll Call 81). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because this substitute amendment was fiscally irresponsible.

3 Oil Consumption. This proposed amendment to the Energy Policy Act of 2003 (H.R. 6) would require the secretary of transportation to increase average fuel economy standards for cars and light trucks (including SUVs and vans) manufactured after model year 2004. These new regulations would need to “ensure that the total amount of oil required for fuel for use by automobiles [both passenger cars and light trucks] in the United States in 2010 and each year thereafter is at least 5 percent less than if the average fuel economy standards remained at the same level as in

2004.” This convoluted language is an attempt to close the “light truck loophole” in the current regulatory standards for Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) for motor vehicles. Currently the CAFE standard is 27.5 miles per gallon (mpg) for passenger cars and 20.7 mpg for light trucks. Whereas 20 percent of new automobiles in 1980 were light trucks, 51 percent of new automobiles were light trucks in 2001. Of course, the highly popular SUVs played a major role in this shift. The result has been a larger proportion of lower fuel economy vehicles on the road. This amendment would mandate increased fuel efficiency for cars and light trucks considered together, an obvious attempt to force Americans into smaller vehicles.

The House rejected this amendment to H.R. 6 on April 10, 2003 by a vote of 162 to 268 (Roll Call 132). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because this amendment would have authorized unconstitutional regulation of vehicle size.

4 Budget Resolution — Final Version. The final version (conference report) of the budget resolution (House Concurrent Resolution 95) would authorize federal spending for fiscal 2004 of \$1,861 billion dollars with a deficit of \$558 billion and an increase in the public debt ceiling of \$984 billion. This planned deficit of \$558 billion dwarfs the previous record federal deficit of \$290 billion in 1992. The \$984 billion increase in the public debt ceiling authorized in this bill constituted, under Rule XXVII of the House, approval of the debt limit increase bill (House Joint Resolution 51) without having to cast a separate vote just on increasing the debt ceiling. Subsequently the Senate passed H. J. Res. 51 and President Bush signed it into law, increasing the public debt ceiling by \$984 billion (for a new total of \$7.4 trillion) and giving

Congress a green light to continue its fiscally irresponsible ways. This resolution also includes \$400 billion for a Medicare prescription drug benefit for 2004-2013.

The House adopted the conference report on H. Con. Res. 95 on April 11, 2003 by a vote of 216 to 211 (Roll Call 141). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because this budget resolution was fiscally irresponsible.

5 Special Education. This bill (H.R. 1350) would reauthorize the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. One of its provisions would authorize increasing federal grants to defray more of the state cost of educating special education students, from the current 18 percent to 40 percent by 2010. Other provisions would allow school personnel to discipline special education students the same as non-disabled students, reduce paperwork requirements for special education teachers, and limit parents’ ability to sue school districts. The Congressional Budget Office estimates that H.R. 1350 would cost \$50 billion over the 2004-2009 period.

The House passed H.R. 1350 on April 30, 2003 by a vote of 251 to 171 (Roll Call 154). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because federal aid to education is unconstitutional.

6 Global AIDS Initiative. This bill (H.R. 1298) would authorize \$15 billion (\$3 billion annually) for fiscal years 2004 through 2008 to provide assistance to foreign countries for the stated purpose of combating HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria. Much of this funding will be funneled through the Global AIDS Fund and other UN agencies and programs notorious for promoting abortion, as well as encouraging promiscuity through “sex education” courses supposedly aimed at stemming AIDS.

The House passed H.R. 1298 on May 1, 2003 by a vote of 375 to 41 (Roll Call 158). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because foreign aid is unconstitutional.

7 Job Training. This bill (H.R. 1261) would reauthorize the nation’s main job-training program. One of its provisions would allow faith-based groups to receive federal funds while maintaining their religious identity, including hiring based on

Our rights to publish this photo of SUVs did not include electronic reproduction.

Mandating better mileage: Embracing the myth that Americans are destroying the planet by consuming too much fuel, politicians are bent on limiting consumer choice by reducing availability of SUVs, vans, and light trucks via CAFE regulations (see House Vote #3).

religious preferences. The Congressional Budget Office estimates this bill would increase “mandatory” spending by \$17 billion for the years 2006-2011 and “discretionary” spending by \$31 billion over the years 2004-2008.

The House passed H.R. 1261 on May 8, 2003 by a vote of 220 to 204 (Roll Call 175). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because federal aid for job training and education is unconstitutional.

8 Unemployment Benefits. This bill (H.R. 2185) would extend the Temporary Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 2002 through December 31, 2003. This would provide an additional 13 weeks of federal aid to workers in all states who have exhausted their 26 weeks of state unemployment benefits. It would also provide another 13 weeks of federal benefits to workers in states with high unemployment. The Congressional Budget Office

About This Index

“The Conservative Index” rates congressmen based on their adherence to constitutional principles of limited government, to fiscal responsibility, to national sovereignty, and to a traditional foreign policy of avoiding foreign entanglements. Preserving our Constitution, the freedoms it guarantees, and the moral bedrock on which it is based is what the word “conservatism” once meant — and how it is being applied here.

To learn how any representative or senator voted on the key measures described herein, look him up in the tables on pages 26-31. The scores are derived by dividing a congressman’s conservative votes (pluses) by the total number he cast (pluses and minuses) and multiplying by 100. (A “?” indicates that a congressman did not vote, and a “P” indicates that he voted “Present.” If a congressman cast fewer than five votes in this index, a score is not assigned.)

The average House score for this index (votes 1-10) is 41 percent, and the average Senate score is 44 percent. Representative Ron Paul (R-Texas) had the top score for the House at 100 percent. Senator Mitch McConnell (R-Ky.) had the highest score in the Senate at 71 percent.

We encourage readers to examine how their own congressmen voted on each of the 10 key measures as well as overall. Vote #1 in the “House Vote Scores” corresponds to vote #1 in the “House Vote Descriptions,” etc.

We also encourage readers to commend legislators for their conservative votes and to urge improvement where needed. For congressional contact information go to www.the.newamerican.com/congress/.

House Vote Descriptions

(continued)

estimates that H.R. 2185 would increase federal outlays by a total of \$7.9 billion over the fiscal years 2003 and 2004.

The House passed H.R. 2185 on May 22, 2003 by a vote of 409 to 19 (Roll Call 223). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because federal aid to unemployed workers is unconstitutional.

9 Tax Reductions. The final version of the \$350 billion tax-cut package (the conference report on H.R. 2) would provide tax breaks over 11 years. Dividends, currently taxed the same as other earned income, would instead be taxed at 15 percent for most taxpayers through 2008. Lower-income dividend recipients would be taxed at 5 percent through 2007 and nothing in 2008. The current 20 percent top rate on capital gains on investments held at least one year would drop to 15 percent, with lower-income investors paying 5 percent through 2007 and nothing in 2008. Both dividend and capital gains tax reductions would expire after 2008. Among other tax reductions, income tax cuts enacted in 2001 for individuals and scheduled to be effective in 2006 would be accelerated; parents would receive refunds of up to \$400 per child this summer.

The House adopted the conference report on H.R. 2 on May 23, 2003 by a vote of 231 to 200 (Roll Call 225). We have assigned pluses to the “yeas” because this bill will cut taxes for individuals and businesses.

10 Partial-Birth Abortion Ban. This bill (H.R. 760) states: “Any physician who, in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, knowingly performs a partial-birth abortion and thereby kills a human fetus shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 2 years, or both.”

The House passed H.R. 760 on June 4, 2003 by a vote of 282 to 139 (Roll Call 242). We have assigned pluses to the “yeas” on the basis that all forms of abortion constitute the murder of unborn children — and that the Supreme Court was overstepping its proper authority by “legalizing” abortion in the first place.

Our rights to publish this photo of an unemployment insurance policy did not include electronic reproduction.

Insuring unemployment: Marxist programs such as unemployment insurance are unconstitutional, burden taxpayers, and ultimately hurt the economy. These programs nevertheless enjoy political support because of the short-term financial benefits to recipients (see House Vote #8 and Senate Vote #7).

Senate Vote Descriptions

1 Fiscal 2003 Omnibus Appropriations. The final version (conference report) of this legislation (House Joint Resolution 2) is described under House Vote #1.

The Senate adopted the conference report on H. J. Res. 2 on February 13, 2003 by a vote of 76 to 20 (Roll Call 34). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because this bill perpetuates huge amounts of unconstitutional federal spending.

2 Moscow Treaty. This treaty, known as the “Moscow Treaty,” would require both the United States and Russia to reduce their respective nuclear stockpiles to between 1,700 and 2,200 warheads by 2012. This treaty is a continuation of the decades-old U.S. program for “general and complete disarmament” originally proposed in the 1961 State Department document *Freedom From War*. Under that plan, the goal is to disarm all countries, including the U.S., to “a point where no state would have the military power to challenge the progressively strengthened U.N. Peace Force.” (To read *Freedom From War*, go to www.getusout.org/resources/dos_7277.htm.)

The Senate ratified the Moscow Treaty on March 6, 2003 by a vote of 95 to 0 (Roll Call 43). We have assigned minuses to the “yeas” because the Moscow Treaty is just

one more step in a UN disarmament process that would culminate in the complete loss of our national sovereignty.

3 Roe v. Wade Support. This proposed amendment to the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban (S. 3) states: “It is the sense of the Senate that — (1) the decision of the Supreme Court in *Roe v. Wade* (410 U.S. 113 (1973)) was appropriate and secures an important constitutional right; and (2) such a decision should not be overturned.” Since this amendment supporting *Roe v. Wade* was adopted, we have opted to score the vote on this amendment rather than the vote on the resulting, severely compromised, Senate bill to ban partial-birth abortion.

The Senate adopted this amendment on March 12, 2003 by a vote of 52 to 46 (Roll Call 48). We have assigned pluses to the “nays” because *Roe v. Wade* should be overturned on the basis that all forms of abortion constitute the murder of unborn children — and that the Supreme Court was overstepping its proper authority by “legalizing” abortion in the first place.

4 COPS (Community Oriented Policing Services) Funding. This proposed amendment to the Senate version of the budget resolution (Senate Concurrent Resolution 23) states: “It is the sense of the

Senate Vote Descriptions

(continued)

Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that an increase of \$1,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2004 for the Department of Justice's community oriented policing program will be provided without reduction and consistent with previous appropriated and authorized levels." This amendment was introduced because the president had only requested \$164 million for the COPS program for fiscal 2004. Adoption of this amendment would express the sense of the Senate that funding for the COPS program should be continued at the same level as for fiscal years 2002 and 2003, \$1 billion per year.

The Senate rejected this amendment on March 21, 2003 by a vote of 48 to 52 (Roll Call 78). We have assigned pluses to the "nays" because federal aid to local police forces invites federal control and is unconstitutional.

5 IDEA (Individuals with Disabilities Education Act) Funding.

This proposed amendment to the Senate version of the budget resolution (Senate Concurrent Resolution 23) resembles H.R. 1350 as considered by the House (see House Vote #5). However, whereas the House bill would *gradually* increase the federal government's share of aid for educating special education students from 18 percent to 40 percent by 2010, this amendment would *immediately* increase the federal government's share to 40 percent in fiscal 2004 and maintain this level over the next 10 years — increasing federal IDEA spending by \$229 billion over that same period.

The Senate rejected this amendment on March 26, 2003 by a vote of 28 to 70 (Roll Call 103). We have assigned pluses to the "nays" because federal aid to education is unconstitutional.

6 Budget Resolution — Final Version.

The final version (conference report) of this legislation (House Concurrent Resolution 95) is described under House Vote #4.

The Senate adopted the conference re-

port on H. Con. Res. 95 on April 11, 2003 with Vice President Cheney casting a "yea" vote to break a 50 to 50 tie (Roll Call 134). We have assigned pluses to the "nays" because this budget resolution is fiscally irresponsible.

7 Unemployment Insurance. Senator Ted Kennedy (D-Mass.) proposed this amendment to the Senate version of the tax reductions bill (S. 1054). This amendment

Our rights to publish this cartoon about Congress did not include electronic reproduction.

is similar to H.R. 2185 (see House Vote #8). It would extend the Temporary Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 2002 through November; however, it would provide 26 weeks of federal aid, compared to 13 weeks in H.R. 2185, to workers in all states who have exhausted their 26 weeks of state unemployment benefits. There would be an additional seven weeks of federal aid for workers in the states with the highest unemployment.

A point of order was raised against Kennedy's amendment on the basis of Budget Act restrictions. The Senate failed to waive the Budget Act with respect to Kennedy's amendment on May 15, 2003 by a vote of 50 to 49 (Roll Call 152). A three-fifths majority vote (60) of the total Senate is needed to waive the Budget Act. We have assigned pluses to the "nays" be-

cause federal aid to unemployed workers is unconstitutional.

8 State Aid. This proposed amendment to the Senate version of the tax reductions bill (S. 1054) would provide \$40 billion in aid to states. Half of this would be for general revenue sharing with states and their local governments. The other half would be used to increase federal Medicaid assistance to states for the last two quarters of fiscal 2003 and all of fiscal 2004.

A point of order was raised against this amendment on the basis of Budget Act restrictions. The Senate failed to waive the Budget Act with respect to the amendment on May 15, 2003 by a vote of 47 to 52 (Roll Call 158). A three-fifths majority vote (60) of the total Senate is needed to waive the Budget Act. We have assigned pluses to the "nays" because federal aid to the states for revenue sharing and medical assistance is unconstitutional.

9 Tax Reductions. The final version (conference report) of this legislation (H.R. 2) is described under House Vote #9.

The Senate adopted the conference report on H.R. 2 on May 23, 2003 with Vice President Cheney casting a "yea" vote to break a 50 to 50 tie (Roll Call 196). We have assigned pluses to the "yeas" because this bill will cut taxes for large numbers of Americans, both individuals and businesses.

10 Debt Limit Increase. This resolution (House Joint Resolution 51) would raise the public debt ceiling by \$984 billion. Last year the public debt ceiling was increased by \$450 billion. These huge increases in the public debt ceiling are necessitated by the fact that federal spending is increasing — and so are the annual deficits. The solution is not to allow the federal government to borrow more money but to cut spending.

The Senate passed H. J. Res. 51 on May 23, 2003 by a vote of 53 to 44 (Roll Call 202). We have assigned pluses to the "nays" because raising the public debt limit by \$984 billion facilitates continued, gross fiscal irresponsibility. ■



House Vote Scores

	Notes:	1-10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
ALABAMA												
1 Bonner (R)	40%		-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
2 Everett (R)	56	?	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+
3 Rogers, Mike D. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4 Aderholt (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Cramer (D)	50	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
6 Bachus, S. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
7 Davis, A. (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+
ALASKA												
Young, D. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
ARIZONA												
1 Renzi (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
2 Franks, T. (R)	80	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
3 Shadegg (R)	70	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
4 Pastor (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
5 Hayworth (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
6 Flake (R)	90	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 Grijalva (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
8 Kolbe (R)	30	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
ARKANSAS												
1 Berry (D)	40	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
2 Snyder (D)	22	-	-	-	+	?	-	+	-	-	-	-
3 Boozman (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4 Ross (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+
CALIFORNIA												
1 Thompson, M. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
2 Herger (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
3 Ose (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4 Doolittle (R)	44	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	?	+	+
5 Matsui (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
6 Woolsey (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
7 Miller, George (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
8 Pelosi (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
9 Lee (D)	40	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
10 Tauscher (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
11 Pombo (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
12 Lantos (D)	33	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	?
13 Stark (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
14 Eshoo (D)	44	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	?
15 Honda (D)	22	-	-	-	+	?	-	+	-	-	-	-
16 Lofgren (D)	44	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	?
17 Farr (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
18 Cardoza (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
19 Radanovich (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
20 Dooley (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
21 Nunes (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
22 Thomas, B. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
23 Capps (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
24 Gallegly (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
25 McKeon (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
26 Dreier (R)	50	-	+	+	-	?	?	-	-	-	+	+
27 Sherman (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
28 Berman (D)	33	-	-	-	+	+	-	?	+	-	-	-
29 Schiff (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
30 Waxman (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
31 Becerra (D)	25	-	-	-	+	?	?	+	-	-	-	-
32 Solis (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
33 Watson (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
34 Roybal-Allard (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-

	Notes:	1-10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
35 Waters (D)	30%		-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
36 Harman (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
37 Millender-McDonald (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
38 Napolitano (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
39 Sanchez, Linda (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
40 Royce (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
41 Lewis, Jerry (R)	44	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	?	+	+
42 Miller, Gary (R)	67	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	?	-	+	+
43 Baca (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
44 Calvert (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
45 Bono (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
46 Rohrabacher (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
47 Sanchez, Loretta (D)	33	?	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
48 Cox (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
49 Issa (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
50 Cunningham (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
51 Filner (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
52 Hunter (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
53 Davis, S. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
COLORADO												
1 DeGette (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
2 Udall, M. (D)	44	+	?	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
3 McClintock (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4 Musgrave (R)	70	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
5 Hefley (R)	60	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+
6 Tancredo (R)	80	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
7 Beauprez (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
CONNECTICUT												
1 Larson, J. (D)	33	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	?
2 Simmons (R)	30	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
3 DeLauro (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
4 Shays (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Johnson, N. (R)	20	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
DELAWARE												
Castle (R)	50	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
FLORIDA												
1 Miller, J. (R)	70	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
2 Boyd (D)	33	-	-	-	+	-	-	?	+	-	-	+
3 Brown, C. (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
4 Crenshaw (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Brown-Waite (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
6 Stearns (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
7 Mica (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
8 Keller (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
9 Bilirakis (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
10 Young, C.W. (R)	33	-	+	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
11 Davis, Jim (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+
12 Putnam (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
13 Harris (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
14 Goss (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
15 Weldon, D. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
16 Foley (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
17 Meek, K. (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
18 Ros-Lehtinen (R)	44	-	+	+	-	-	-	?	-	-	+	+
19 Wexler (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
20 Deutsch (D)	50	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
21 Diaz-Balart, L. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
22 Shaw (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
23 Hastings, A. (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-

	Notes:	1-10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
24 Feeny (R)	67%	-	+	+	-	-	+	?	+	+	+	
25 Diaz-Balart, M. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
GEORGIA												
1 Kingston (R)	44	-	+	+	-	?	-	-	-	+	+	
2 Bishop, S. (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	
3 Marshall (D)	50	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	
4 Majette (D)	30	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	
5 Lewis, John (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	
6 Isakson (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
7 Linder (R)	44	?	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
8 Collins, M. (R)	44	?	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
9 Norwood (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	
10 Deal (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	
11 Gingrey (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
12 Burns, M. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
13 Scott, D. (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	
HAWAII												
1 Abercrombie (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	
2 Case (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	
IDAHO												
1 Otter (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	
2 Simpson (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
ILLINOIS												
1 Rush (D)	50	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	
2 Jackson, J. (D)	50	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	
3 Lipinski (D)	50	?	?	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	
4 Gutierrez (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	
5 Emanuel (D)	22	-	-	-	+	+	-	?	-	-	-	
6 Hyde (R)	33	-	?	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
7 Davis, D. (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	
8 Crane (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	
9 Schakowsky (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	
10 Kirk (R)	20	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
11 Weller (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
12 Costello (D)	70	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	
13 Biggert (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
14 Hastert (R): <i>Speaker</i>	40	-	?	?	-	?	-	?	?	+	+	
15 Johnson, Timothy (R)	40	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	
16 Manzullo (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
17 Evans (D)	33	+	-	-	+	?	-	+	-	-	-	
18 LaHood (R)	40	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	
19 Shimkus (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
INDIANA												
1 Visclosky (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	
2 Chocola (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
3 Souder (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
4 Buyer (R)	38	-	?	+	-	-	?	-	-	+	+	
5 Burton (R)	33	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	?	
6 Pence (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
7 Carson, J. (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	
8 Hostettler (R)	80	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	
9 Hill (D)	30	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	
IOWA												
1 Nussle (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
2 Leach (R)	20	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
3 Boswell (D)	40	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	
4 Latham (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
5 King, S. (R)	60	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	
KANSAS												
1 Moran, Jerry (R)	60	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	
2 Ryun, J. (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
3 Moore (D)	30	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	
4 Tiahrt (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	

	Notes:	1-10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
KENTUCKY												
1 Whitfield (R)	50%	-	+	+	-	?	?	-	-	+	+	
2 Lewis, R. (R)	33	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	?
3 Northup (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4 Lucas, K. (D)	50	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Rogers, H. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
6 Fletcher (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
LOUISIANA												
1 Vitter (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
2 Jefferson (D)	60	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
3 Tauzin (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4 McCrery (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Alexander, R. (D)	60	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
6 Baker (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
7 John (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
MAINE												
1 Allen, T. (D)	33	?	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
2 Michaud (D)	40	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
MARYLAND												
1 Gilchrest (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
2 Ruppberger (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
3 Cardin (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
4 Wynn (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
5 Hoyer (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
6 Bartlett (R)	70	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
7 Cummings (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
8 Van Hollen (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS												
1 Olver (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
2 Neal (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
3 McGovern (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
4 Frank, B. (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
5 Meehan (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
6 Tierney (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
7 Markey (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
8 Capuano (D)	33	?	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
9 Lynch (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
10 Delahunt (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
MICHIGAN												
1 Stupak (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
2 Hoekstra (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
3 Ehlers (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4 Camp (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Kildee (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
6 Upton (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
7 Smith, N. (R)	70	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
8 Rogers, Mike (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
9 Knollenberg (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
10 Miller, C. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
11 McCotter (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
12 Levin, S. (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
13 Kilpatrick (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
14 Conyers (D)	50	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
15 Dingell (D)	44	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	?	-	-	+
MINNESOTA												
1 Gutknecht (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+

Key to House Votes (see pages 22-24)

1. Omnibus Appropriations
2. Budget Resolution — Substitute
3. Oil Consumption
4. Budget Resolution — Final
5. Special Education
6. Global AIDS Initiative
7. Job Training
8. Unemployment Benefits
9. Tax Reductions
10. Partial-Birth Abortion Ban



Votes: 1-10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

2 Kline (R)	40%	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	
3 Ramstad (R)	50	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+
4 McCollum (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
5 Sabo (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
6 Kennedy, M. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
7 Peterson, C. (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
8 Oberstar (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+

MISSISSIPPI

1 Wicker (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	
2 Thompson, B. (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
3 Pickering (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4 Taylor, G. (D)	50	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+

MISSOURI

1 Clay (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
2 Akin (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
3 Gephardt (D)		+	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	-	?
4 Skelton (D)	40	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+
5 McCarthy, K. (D)	17	-	-	?	?	?	?	+	-	-	-
6 Graves (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
7 Blunt (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
8 Emerson (R)	38	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	?	?	+
9 Hulshof (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+

MONTANA

Rehberg (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
-------------	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

NEBRASKA

1 Bereuter (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
2 Terry (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
3 Osborne (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+

NEVADA

1 Berkley (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
2 Gibbons (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
3 Porter (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+

NEW HAMPSHIRE

1 Bradley (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
2 Bass (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+

NEW JERSEY

1 Andrews (D)	11	-	-	-	+	-	-	?	-	-	-
2 LoBiondo (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
3 Saxton (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4 Smith, C. (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Garrett (R)	70	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+
6 Pallone (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
7 Ferguson (R)	44	?	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
8 Pascrell (D)	33	?	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+
9 Rothman (D)	33	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	?
10 Payne (D)	33	?	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
11 Frelinghuysen (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
12 Holt (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
13 Menendez (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-

NEW MEXICO

1 Wilson, H. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
2 Pearce (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
3 Udall, T. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-

NEW YORK

1 Bishop, T. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
2 Israel (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
3 King, P. (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4 McCarthy, C. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
5 Ackerman (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
6 Meeks, G. (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
7 Crowley (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
8 Nadler (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
9 Weiner (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
10 Towns (D)	50	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-

Votes: 1-10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

11 Owens (D)	25%	-	-	-	+	?	?	+	-	-	-
12 Velazquez (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
13 Fossella (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
14 Maloney, C. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
15 Rangel (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
16 Serrano (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
17 Engel (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
18 Lowey (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
19 Kelly (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
20 Sweeney (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
21 McNulty (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
22 Hinchey (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
23 McHugh (R)	44	-	+	+	-	-	?	-	-	+	+
24 Boehlert (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
25 Walsh (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
26 Reynolds (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
27 Quinn (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
28 Slaughter (D)	25	-	-	-	+	?	?	+	-	-	-
29 Houghton (R)	38	-	+	?	?	-	-	-	-	+	+

NORTH CAROLINA

1 Ballance (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
2 Etheridge (D)	50	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
3 Jones, W. (R)	70	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+
4 Price, D. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
5 Burr (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
6 Coble (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
7 McIntyre (D)	40	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+
8 Hayes (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
9 Myrick (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
10 Ballenger (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
11 Taylor, C. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
12 Watt (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
13 Miller, B. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-

NORTH DAKOTA

Pomeroy (D)	40	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+
-------------	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

OHIO

1 Chabot (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
2 Portman (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
3 Turner, M. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4 Oxley (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Gillmor (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
6 Strickland (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
7 Hobson (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
8 Boehner (R)	38	-	+	+	?	-	-	-	-	?	+
9 Kaptur (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
10 Kucinich (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
11 Jones, S. (D)	44	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	?
12 Tiberi (R)	44	?	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
13 Brown, S. (D)	44	+	-	-	+	+	?	+	-	-	-
14 LaTourette (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
15 Pryce, D. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
16 Regula (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
17 Ryan, T. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
18 Ney (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+

OKLAHOMA

1 Sullivan (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
2 Carson, B. (D)	56	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	?
3 Lucas, F. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4 Cole (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Istook (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+

OREGON

1 Wu (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
2 Walden (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
3 Blumauer (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
4 DeFazio (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-

Notes:	1-10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
5 Hooley (D)	30%	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA											
1 Brady, R. (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
2 Fattah (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
3 English (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+
4 Hart (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Peterson, J. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
6 Gerlach (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
7 Weldon, C. (R)	33	-	+	-	?	-	-	-	-	+	+
8 Greenwood (R)	20	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
9 Shuster, Bill (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
10 Sherwood (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
11 Kanjorski (D)	60	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
12 Murtha (D)	60	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
13 Hoeffel (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
14 Doyle (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
15 Toomey (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
16 Pitts (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
17 Holden (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
18 Murphy (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
19 Platts (R)	40	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+
RHODE ISLAND											
1 Kennedy, P. (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
2 Langevin (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
SOUTH CAROLINA											
1 Brown, H. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
2 Wilson, J. (R)	44	?	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
3 Barrett (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4 DeMint (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Spratt (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
6 Clyburn (D)	33	-	-	+	+	+	-	?	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA											
Janklow (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
TENNESSEE											
1 Jenkins (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
2 Duncan (R)	60	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+
3 Wamp (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+
4 Davis, L. (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
5 Cooper (D)	50	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
6 Gordon, B. (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
7 Blackburn (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
8 Tanner (D)	60	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
9 Ford (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
TEXAS											
1 Sandlin (D)	56	-	-	+	+	+	?	+	-	-	+
2 Turner, J. (D)	40	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+
3 Johnson, Sam (R)	60	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
4 Hall, R. (D)	40	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Hensarling (R)	60	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
6 Barton (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
7 Culberson (R)	60	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
8 Brady, K. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
9 Lampson (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
10 Doggett (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
11 Edwards, C. (D)	30	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
12 Granger (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
13 Thornberry (R)	33	-	?	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
14 Paul (R)	100	+	+	?	?	+	+	+	+	+	+
15 Hinojosa (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
16 Reyes (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
17 Stenholm (D)	30	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
18 Jackson-Lee, S. (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
19 Vacant											
20 Gonzalez (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-

Notes:	1-10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
21 Smith, L. (R)	40%	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
22 DeLay (R)	44	-	+	+	-	-	-	?	-	+	+
23 Bonilla (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	?	?	+
24 Frost (D)	30	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
25 Bell (D)	30	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
26 Burgess (R)	60	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
27 Ortiz (D)	56	-	-	+	+	+	?	+	-	-	+
28 Rodriguez (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
29 Green, G. (D)	44	-	-	+	+	+	?	+	-	-	-
30 Johnson, E.B. (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
31 Carter (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
32 Sessions, P. (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
UTAH											
1 Bishop, R. (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
2 Matheson (D)	70	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
3 Cannon (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
VERMONT											
Sanders (I)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
VIRGINIA											
1 Davis, Jo Ann (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
2 Schrock (R)	56	-	+	+	-	-	+	?	-	+	+
3 Scott, R. (D)	44	-	-	+	+	+	?	+	-	-	-
4 Forbes (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Goode (R)	50	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
6 Goodlatte (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
7 Cantor (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
8 Moran, James (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
9 Boucher (D)	40	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
10 Wolf (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
11 Davis, T. (R)	30	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
WASHINGTON											
1 Insee (D)	30	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
2 Larsen, R. (D)	20	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
3 Baird (D)	30	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
4 Hastings, D. (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
5 Nethercutt (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
6 Dicks (D)	22	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	?
7 McDermott (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
8 Dunn (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
9 Smith, A. (D)	33	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	?
WEST VIRGINIA											
1 Mollohan (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
2 Capito (R)	40	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
3 Rahall (D)	50	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
WISCONSIN											
1 Ryan, P. (R)	44	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	?
2 Baldwin (D)	40	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
3 Kind, R. (D)	30	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
4 Kleczka (D)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
5 Sensenbrenner (R)	70	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+
6 Petri (R)	60	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
7 Obey (D)	44	-	-	-	+	+	?	+	-	-	+
8 Green, M. (R)	50	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
WYOMING											
Cubin (R)	56	?	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+

Key to House Votes (see pages 22-24)

- | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1. Omnibus Appropriations | 6. Global AIDS Initiative |
| 2. Budget Resolution — Substitute | 7. Job Training |
| 3. Oil Consumption | 8. Unemployment Benefits |
| 4. Budget Resolution — Final | 9. Tax Reductions |
| 5. Special Education | 10. Partial-Birth Abortion Ban |



Senate Vote Scores

Votes:	1-10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
ALABAMA											
Shelby (R)	60%	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Sessions, J. (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
ALASKA											
Stevens (R)	50	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Murkowski, L. (R)	50	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
ARIZONA											
McCain (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Kyl (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
ARKANSAS											
Lincoln (D)	30	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
Pryor (D)	40	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
CALIFORNIA											
Feinstein (D)	30	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
Boxer (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
COLORADO											
Campbell (R)	40	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-
Allard (R)	56	-	-	+	+	+	-	?	+	+	-
CONNECTICUT											
Dodd (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Lieberman (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
DELAWARE											
Biden (D)	25	-	-	?	-	?	+	-	-	-	+
Carper (D)	30	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
FLORIDA											
Graham, B. (D)	38	?	?	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
Nelson, Bill (D)	30	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
GEORGIA											
Miller, Z. (D)	63	-	?	+	+	?	-	+	+	+	-
Chambliss (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
HAWAII											
Inouye (D)	22	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	?	-	+
Alkaka (D)	20	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
IDAHO											
Craig (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Crapo (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
ILLINOIS											
Durbin (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Fitzgerald (R)	70	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
INDIANA											
Lugar (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Bayh (D)	30	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
IOWA											
Grassley (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Harkin (D)	20	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
KANSAS											
Brownback (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Roberts (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
KENTUCKY											
McConnell (R)	71	?	?	?	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Bunning (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
LOUISIANA											
Breaux (D)	30	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
Landrieu (D)	30	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+

Votes:	1-10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
MAINE											
Snowe (R)	40%	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-
Collins, S. (R)	50	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
MARYLAND											
Sarbanes (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Mikulski (D)	20	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
MASSACHUSETTS											
Kennedy, E. (D)	22	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	?
Kerry, J. (D)	12	?	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	?
MICHIGAN											
Levin, C. (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Stabenow (D)	20	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
MINNESOTA											
Dayton (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Coleman (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
MISSISSIPPI											
Cochran (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Lott (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
MISSOURI											
Bond (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Talent (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
MONTANA											
Baucus, M. (D)	30	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
Burns, C. (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
NEBRASKA											
Hagel (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Nelson, Ben (D)	50	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+
NEVADA											
Reid, H. (D)	50	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
Ensign (R)	70	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
NEW HAMPSHIRE											
Gregg (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Sununu (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
NEW JERSEY											
Lautenberg (D)	40	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
Corzine (D)	30	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
NEW MEXICO											
Domenici (R)	67	-	?	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Bingaman (D)	40	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
NEW YORK											
Schumer (D)	30	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
Clinton (D)	20	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
NORTH CAROLINA											
Edwards, J. (D)	22	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	?
Dole (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
NORTH DAKOTA											
Conrad (D)	40	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
Dorgan (D)	30	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
OHIO											
DeWine (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Voinovich (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
OKLAHOMA											
Nickles (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Inhofe (R)	60	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-

	Votes:	1-10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
OREGON												
Wyden (D)	30%		-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
Smith, G. (R)	67		-	?	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
PENNSYLVANIA												
Specter (R)	40		-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-
Santorum (R)	60		-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
RHODE ISLAND												
Reed, J. (D)	20		-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Chafee (R)	50		-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA												
Hollings (D)	30		-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
Graham, L. (R)	60		-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
SOUTH DAKOTA												
Daschle (D)	30		+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Johnson, Tim (D)	20		-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
TENNESSEE												
Frist (R)	60		-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Alexander, L. (R)	60		-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
TEXAS												
Hutchison, K. (R)	50		-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Cornyn (R)	60		-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
UTAH												
Hatch (R)	60		-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Bennett (R)	60		-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
VERMONT												
Leahy (D)	22		?	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Jeffords (I)	20		+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-

	Votes:	1-10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
VIRGINIA												
Warner (R)	50%		-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Allen, G. (R)	60		-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
WASHINGTON												
Murray (D)	20		-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Cantwell (D)	20		-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
WEST VIRGINIA												
Byrd (D)	20		-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Rockefeller (D)	30		+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
WISCONSIN												
Kohl (D)	30		-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
Feingold (D)	30		+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
WYOMING												
Thomas, C. (R)	60		-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
Enzi (R)	60		-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-

Key to Senate Votes (see pages 24-25)

1. Omnibus Appropriations
2. Moscow Treaty
3. *Roe v. Wade* Support
4. COPS Funding
5. IDEA Funding
6. Budget Resolution — Final
7. Unemployment Insurance
8. State Aid
9. Tax Reductions
10. Debt Limit Increase